

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Annroyed Fem

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

OCC/3-4002

## Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO : MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE: 7 November 1957

FROM : John S. Warner

SUBJECT: Standard for Assessing Individual Pecuniary Liability for Motor

Vehicle Accidents

Advised that this problem had been considered before and that had several discussions with the Department of Justice. We arrived then at the conclusion that simple negligence was not enough to establish pecuniary reponsibility and the standard should be wilfulness or gross negligence.

15

M Miles of John

25 October 1955

MEMORANDUM FOR : Office of Logisties

ATTENTION : Chief, Transportation Division

SUBJECT : Recommended Handling of Administrative
Aspects of Traffic Cases

- 1. For some time past, this Office has been conducting a great deal of correspondence with cutsiders with regard to claims for or against the Government on account of damage caused to various types of vehicles in traffic accidents involving Agency vehicles. Such correspondence has included letters to outsiders sending them standard government forms which must be executed in order to make claim against the Government and requesting repair estimates; letters informing outsiders as to the status of their claims; letters to insurers requesting payments and follow up correspondence of one type or another. Hecessarily ancillary to this correspondence have been a great many intra-agency phone calls requesting information sought by outsiders, supplying information to the components dealing with one or another phase of the processing of traffic accident: files, etc. Finally there have been numerous telephone conversations with the outsiders themselves, their attorneys or their insurers.
- 2. None of this purely routine, administrative work involves any legal issues or technique. Much of the information necessary to its accomplishment is not available in this Office, but must be obtained from other components, chiefly the Office of Logistics. The only matters of a legal nature involved are those of (a) the determination of legal liability as between the parties to an accident, and (b) the mechanics of transferring a file to the General Accounting Office for collection on behalf of the United States in those instances in which such determination has been made against the outsider and he has refused to pay.
- 3. In view of the above, we suggest that a system be adopted under which this Office would continue to handle the legal aspects of each case, as described above, and the office having the primary interest in, and supervision over, Agendy vehicles would handle the correspondence, written and oral, incident to traffic accidents involving them.

**M** 

- be these. Drivers can be furnished with the standard forms for submitting claims against the government. On the occasion of an accident, the Agency driver involved can give the proper number of forms to the other driver with the instructions that, if claim against the Government is contemplated, the forms should be filled out and returned to the Agency along with at least two itemized repair estimates. The return address given should be in the Transportation Division. Thereafter correspondence concerning any claim, the Government's or the outsider's, should be conducted by that division. The vehicles are under its supervision and constitute its responsibility. The drivers are assigned to that division. Investigation of the accident is made by it. The Board of Survey action, if any, takes place within the Legistics Office which comprises, interalia, the Transportation Division.
- 5. As before, this Office will be glad to pass on the purely legal aspects of each case as well as to lend whatever advice may be sought in the initial stages of establishing any new procedures for the handling of traffic cases.

STAT	L		merel	 	
					STAT

OGC/HF:jc

Distribution:

Orig. - Addressee

1. - Chrono

1. - Signer

1. - Subject - Vehicles -5

Chief of Logistics

7 December 1954

Assistant General Counsel

Liability and Damage as regards Motor Vehicles

- 1. This will acknowledge receipt of your memorandum of November 23, 1954 requesting our opinion on the specific question of liability and damage as between an individual of this Agency and third parties, and as between the Agency and the individual within the context of the following two policy questions:
  - "a. What should Agency policy be regarding liability and damages resulting from an accident to a personally owned vehicle which had been suthorized for and was being utilized on official business?
  - b. What should Agency policy be regarding liability and damages resulting from an accident to a quasi-personal vehicle which was being utilized for personal use when such use was for the purpose of maintaining cover by making the vehicle appear to be the personal property of the individual?"
- 2. As you know, reimburgement of civilian officers and employees of the Federal Government is limited by statute (See 5 USC 837) to an amount not to exceed 7 cents per mile for use of privately owned automobiles on official business. The Standardised Government Travel Regulations interpret this statute to mean that the reimbursement figure includes payment for all incidental speculative expenses such as depreciation, insurance, repairs, and the like. This interpretation has never been questioned by this office and has been followed consistently in our several past opinions on this subject. Our answer to your specific questions on the first point would be, therefore, that normally an individual of this Agency who becomes involved in an accident with a third person may not legally be reimbursed by the Agency to a greater extent than the amount provided for by the statute cited above, either by way of indemnification against liability claims of others or for damage to the individual's vehicle. The individual has the right, of course, in case of bodily injury while acting within the scope of his employment to seek compensation therefor through the precedures outlined in the Federal Employ-We assume, however, that ees! Compensation Act you are referring to injury to the individual's automobile rather than personal bodily injury in your present inquiry.

OGC FOIAB5

- 3. To the best of our knowledge, the only exception to the rule outlined in the preceding paregraph would be in those cases where for reasons of security the Agency prevents an employee from enforcing his rights through court action or otherwise against a negligent third party, or, for reasons of security, prevents an employee from presenting a claim against his insurance carrier. In such cases it is our opinion that reinbursement may properly be made. May we emphasize, however, that such payments come under the heading of operational expense and are not related to the statutory reinbursement cited in previous paregraphs for use of a personal vehicle on official business.
- 4. With regard to your second question, we are of the opinion that questions of liability concerning quesi-personal vehicles should be handled in precisely the same manner as other cases involving official vehicles. We base this opinion upon the fact that a quasipersonal vehicle is actually as official Government-owned vehicle registered in such fashion as to diagram the true superably and assigned to an employee for his personal as well as official use. In such cases the courts have held uniformly, so far as we are aware, that when a Government employee ensumes the right to use and control an official vehicle for a private purpose; he assumes responsibility for the consequeness of such use and control, including the responsibility to respond personally for an injury occurring to a third person. For the purpose of clarification we should like to state our opinion that purely personal use of a quasi-personal whitele such as transportation between the place of residence and the place of business, recreation and the like, remains personal although in consonence with the catensible status of the vehicle. The result would be that the Agency would indemnify the individual for losses not covered by insurance only in cases where the vehicle was being used for official business. It would also be our opinion that a pro rate share of insuremen coverage placed upon the vehicle should be paid by the employee based upon the mileage or time ratio of official as against personal use. Let us sad also that the same cavests applicable to the use of other official vehicles would apply to the use of quasi-personal care including the normal Governmental rule that indemnification would not be in order in case of gross or willful negligence on the part of the caplepac.
- 5. It is our hope that your discussions with the Management Staff may be of some help in resolving this situation which, from the number of claims which have been presented to the Claims Board, still is misunderstood by many employees. We would be pleased to assist in any educational process which may appear to you to be useful.

		1	STAT
Assistant	Genera I	Counse	1

	~^	п.	
•	- 1 -	•	- 4
-3	_ L	п	

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

INSTRUCTIONS: Officer designations should be used in the "TO" column. Under each comment a line should be drawn across sheet and each comment numbered to correspond with the number in the "TO" column. Each officer should initial (check mark insufficient) before further routing. This Routing and Record Sheet should be returned to Registry.

FROM:

NO.

DATE

Chief of Logistics

то	ROOM	DA		OFFICER'S	COMMENTS
10	NO.	REC'D	FWD'D	INITIALS	- Contractive
1.		1			
•	317 South				
General Counsel	South				
2.					
3.					
	<u> </u>				
4.					
5.			-		
6.					
7.					
		,			
				[	
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.	<b></b>				
14.	-	-		<u> </u>	
14.	1				
				}	
15.					
1.00					4 4815
		1		Į.	
	·			I	

FORM NO. 51-10 PREVIO SELECTION OF THE USED P

IA-RDP62-00631R000400130015-9 GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE UNCLASSIFIED

STANDARD FORM NO. 9Approved For Release 2003/02/27: CIA-RDP62-00631R000400130015-9

## Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO:	General	Counsel
-----	---------	---------

DATE:

FROM : Chief of Logistics

SUBJECT: Liability and Damage as regards Motor Vehicles

- 1. In a recent meeting attended by the Chief, Management Staff, and representatives of the Logistics Office, a question arose as to Agency policy on two points concerning motor vehicles. Specifically, the points in question were as follows:
  - a. What should Agency policy be regarding liability and damages resulting from an accident to a personally owned vehicle which had been authorized for and was being utilized on official business?
  - b. What should Agency policy be regarding liability and damages resulting from an accident to a quasi-personal vehicle which was being utilized for personal use when such use was for the purpose of maintaining cover by making the vehicle appear to be the personal property of the individual?

STAT

- 2. Current regulatory issuances do not contain policy coverage on these points. Paragraph 7, 1 April 1951 (copy attached), contains limited coverage on this subject; however, it is the understanding of this Office that this series of Agency regulations has been superseded. In any event, the provision contained in the last sentence of paragraph 7.c. appears to be questionable.
- 3. It is requested that a legal decision be furnished this Office covering the two situations as enumerated above, specifically as regards questions of liability and damage as between an individual of this Agency and third parties, and questions of liability and damage as between the Agency and the individual.

JAMES A. GARRISON

STAT

STAT

1 Enclosure par. 7

LO/TD/PSZ:1e(5 November 1954)

Distribution:

Orig & 1 - Addressee

1 - LO File

1 - Signer Approved For Release 2003/02/27 : CIA-RDP62-00631R000400130015-9

1 - TD Rdr 1 - Management Staff, DD/A Next 6 Page(s) In Document Exempt

	1
dministrative/Fiamance	esette ja Na P
Payments from Miscellaneous Seccipts	
Heferences: (A) (B) 6 October 1985	·
(c) 5 December 1955	e de la companya della companya della companya de la companya della companya dell
l. Reference (A) requested your comments on the recording payments from Miscellaneous Receipts. Refer advised that this problem was being studied and pending payments or adjustments of account abould be made.  2. As the more than four month delay in reaching us) a decision becomes more embarrassing as the dalay in are taking the liberty of again calling your attention problem.	ence (B)  decision no
problem.	ion to the
problem.	on to the
17 January 1956	on to the

D SUP!

4 - EE

2003/02/27: CIA-RDP62-00631R000400130015-9

Next 22 Page(s) In Document Exempt